UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,841	09/03/2004	John Matthew Forsyth	5035-184US/P29508 USA	4496	
Richard C Woo	7590 09/08/200 dbridge	EXAMINER			
	chner & Woodbridge	ABDUL-ALI, OMAR R			
Princeton, NJ 08542-0592			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/506,841	FORSYTH, JOHN MATTHEW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
OMAR ABDUL-ALI	2178		

	OMAR ABDUL-ALI	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aban ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter						
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			••			
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	E below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet_ appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u> </u>					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		be entered and an ex	planation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>6/30/200</u>	<u>8</u>				
/Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178	/Omar Abdul-Ali/ Examiner, Art Unit 2178					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been fullly considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Cadiz does not disclose the claimed feature, data to be to be displayed from a remote service provider is automatically embedded in an application that is not dedicated to displaying data from a remote service provider. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Cadiz discloses tracking information of interest with tickets, which may include information that is resides locally, on the user's own computer without requiring the use of a service (column 16, lines 50-56). Therefore, the application interface is not dedicated solely to retrieving information from a remote service provider.